

John R. Hutchins

Attorney Washington, DC jhutchins@bannerwitcoff.com Main: 202.824.3000 Fax: 202.824.3001

John is a first-chair patent litigation attorney, who handles litigation in federal district court and the International Trade Commission, appeals before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, client counseling, license drafting and negotiations, and patent post-grant proceedings. He has represented clients in cases involving consumer electronics, automotive components, medical devices, paper making processes and chemicals, inks, vaccines, pharmaceuticals, ecommerce, and toys and children's products.

John was previously a partner in the Washington, D.C., office of Kenyon & Kenyon LLP, which eventually became Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP. He successfully represented his clients in the following representative matters:

- Sony in litigation that resulted in the court entering a stipulation of non-infringement as a matter of law following a transfer from the Eastern District of Texas and a favorable claim construction ruling. Optimum Power Solutions v. Sony Electronics (N.D. Cal.).
- Delphi in litigation resulting in granted summary judgment of invalidity of four separate patents in favor of client. Automotive Technologies International v. Delphi, et al. (E.D. Mich.).
- Sony in patent litigation resulting in granted summary judgment of non-infringement in favor of client. The ruling, argued by Mr. Hutchins, was affirmed on an appeal. Intellectual Science and Technology v. Sony Electronics (E.D. Mich., Fed. Cir.).
- Intervet in patent litigation resulting in granted summary judgment of non-infringement in favor of client. Intervet v. Merial, et al. (D.C.D.C.).
- GE Healthcare in a false advertising case concerning x-ray contrast agents. District court found in favor of GE Healthcare after trial on its false advertising counterclaim. Bracco Diagnostics v. Amersham Health (D.N.J.).
- Dwayne "The Rock" Johnson and his charitable foundation in a copyright litigation resulting in dismissed copyright infringement claims against client. Ottis v. Fisher-Price et al. (D. Neb.).
- Fisher-Price and Mattel in a patent litigation trial that resulted in the court finding that the firm's clients did not infringe LeapFrog's patent, and that the patent was invalid. The ruling was affirmed on appeal. LeapFrog Enterprises v. Fisher-Price, et al. (D. Del., Fed. Cir.).

John has taught patent law at the Catholic University of America's Columbus School of Law and intellectual property legal drafting at George Washington University Law School. He is also a co-author of the leading treatise on patent litigation at the ITC: Unfair Competition and the ITC (2016-2017 ed.). He is recognized in Washington, D.C. Super Lawyers and the Intellectual Asset Management Patent 1000 in 2023.

Early in his career, John served as a law clerk to the Honorable Paul V. Gadola, U.S. District Judge for the Eastern District of Michigan. He earned his J.D., magna cum laude, from Harvard Law School in 1995, and his B.S.E., summa cum laude, in electrical engineering from the University of Michigan in 1992.

John's technical background relates to solid-state electronic device fabrication. He also gained experience in various packaging technologies for electronic circuitry while working as an Eastman Kodak Scholar for Eastman Kodak Company.

While attending Harvard Law School, John served as president of the Harvard Mediation Program, mediated disputes before state courts in Massachusetts, and taught mediation technique at the law school. John was also a special assistant district attorney at the Middlesex County District Attorney's Office, where he prosecuted criminal cases.

University of Michigan 1992, B.S., Electrical Engineering

Harvard Law School 1995, J.D.

Admissions

Bar Admissions 1996, New York 1997, District of Columbia

Court Admissions

District of Columbia Court of Appeals Superior Court of the District of Columbia U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

U.S. Supreme Court

Practices

Appellate Litigation Counseling, Opinions + Licensing Jury Trials Litigation PTAB Litigation Section 337/ITC Litigation

Industries

Chemistry + Chemical Engineering Electrical + Computer Technologies Internet, E-Commerce + Business Methods Life Sciences + Pharmaceuticals Medical Devices

Recent News + Events + Related Publications

Featured, Non-news/events/press, general content etc. - 09.24.24 John Hutchins Joins AI and Patent Law Brainstorming Session at the Sedona Conference

NEWS - 06.26.24

Banner Witcoff Secures Victory for Client Apprio, Inc.

NEWS - 06.26.24 Banner Witcoff Achieves Total PTAB Victory for ZF

NEWS - 06.06.24

IAM Patent 1000 Recognizes 14 Banner Witcoff Attorneys, Patent Prosecution, and Litigation Practices

NEWS - 04.22.24

Nine Banner Witcoff Attorneys Named 2024 Washington, D.C. Super Lawyers and Rising Stars

NEWS - 06.27.23

IAM 1000 Recognizes 15 Banner Witcoff Attorneys, Patent Prosecution, and Litigation Practices

NEWS - 04.24.23

11 Banner Witcoff Attorneys Named 2023 Washington, D.C. Super Lawyers and Rising Stars

NEWS - 07.06.22

Banner Witcoff's Patent Prosecution and Litigation Practices Recognized in IAM 1000

NEWS - 04.29.22

12 Banner Witcoff Attorneys Named 2022 Washington, D.C. Super Lawyers and Rising Stars