



Reexamining Success Rates in *Inter Partes* Reexaminations

By Robert H. Resis

An avenue of attack being used more frequently against patents than just a few years ago is *inter partes* reexamination. According to Patent Office statistics, requests for inter partes reexamination have grown from seventy (70) in 2006 to two hundred fifty eight (258) in 2009, and is currently on pace to total at least three hundred seventy eight (378) in 2010. A driving factor in the increase of requests for *inter partes* reexam is believed to be the “success” rate published by the Patent Office in obtaining certificates with “all claims canceled (or disclaimed).” According to Patent Office statistics, as recently as the period ending March 31, 2009, the success rate of “all claims” being knocked out in *inter partes* reexamination was 71%.

A review of more recent statistics shows that this success rate is plummeting. For the period ending June 30, 2010, the success rate of all claims being knocked out in *inter partes* reexamination was at 49%.

An independent study done by the author of this Alert for certificates issuing between September 8, 2009 and August 31, 2010 shows that the rate of all claims being knocked out in *inter partes* reexam was 33%, and that between March 2, 2010 and August 31, 2010, the rate has dropped to 24%.

A party who is considering whether to file a request for inter partes reexam needs to consider a number of factors. The fact that the knock out rate in *inter partes* reexam has recently dropped precipitously is a factor that should be considered. Further, about 70% of *inter partes* reexaminations are known to be in litigation. Thus, parties should be aware that more recent statistics can be used to help defeat a motion to stay litigation pending *inter partes* reexam by rebutting published Patent Office knock out rate numbers, which include all *inter partes* reexam certificates. Indeed, the author compiled recent statistics and used them to help defeat a motion to stay litigation pending *inter partes* reexamination in the Northern District of California, a court that historically has granted stays pending reexamination more often than not. The litigation settled shortly after the motion to stay was denied.

To subscribe or unsubscribe to this Intellectual Property Advisory,
please send a message to Chris Hummel at chummel@bannerwitcoff.com



www.bannerwitcoff.com

© Copyright 2010 Banner & Witcoff, Ltd. All Rights Reserved. No distribution or reproduction of this issue or any portion thereof is allowed without written permission of the publisher except by recipient for internal use only within recipient's own organization. The opinions expressed in this publication are for the purpose of fostering productive discussions of legal issues and do not constitute the rendering of legal counseling or other professional services. No attorney-client relationship is created, nor is there any offer to provide legal services, by the publication and distribution of this advisory. This publication is designed to provide reasonably accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is provided with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, counseling, accounting or other professional services. If legal advice or other professional assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person in the relevant area should be sought.