An Early Influence
Toys have too much impact to be so overlooked

By Richard Gottlieb

Last October I was attending the first ever Toy and Game Inventor Awards in Chicago. It was a powerful evening with lots of heart and emotion. Awards called “TAGIES” were given to the “Toy Inventor of the Year,” “Game Inventor of the Year” and “Rising Star of the Year.” As I sat and listened to the various speakers and honorees, I was struck by not only the depth of talent in Toy Nation but by the outside impact we as an industry have on the our culture, the economy and society.

Culture’s debt to child’s play
There is no better illustration of the enormous impact toys have on our culture than that of inventor Jefrey Breslow, who received the evening’s “Lifetime Achievement Award.” Over the life of his 41 year career, Breslow’s creations have sold over a billion units and are said to be found in 80 percent of American homes. Think about it: That puts his sales on a par with the Beatles and J.K. Rowling's Harry Potter books. We know more than we ever need to know about the impact Mr. Potter and the Beatles have had on world culture. Yet, we know next to nothing about how many children found joy, made a career choice, learned something new, made a friend, developed a lifetime enthusiasm and/or created a lasting memory because they played with one of Breslow’s creations. Similarly, how many artists started with paint by numbers sets; how many architects with a construction toy; and how many doctors with a toy stethoscope and a pretend play doctor’s bag?

How toys spark the economy
Toy Nation’s impact on our world does not stop with its cultural impact. We can also make the case that toys and the toy industry.

“Society’s debt to Toy Nation
Most people reading this article are fortunate to live in countries ruled by laws. Those who participate in these societies do so by agreeing to obey rules and regulations that may they do not want to obey. They do so, however, because they have learned the importance of living by those rules.

Where do children learn to participate in a society of laws? Well, one place is by playing board games. By sitting down with their peers and families, they learn the fun of competition while obeying rules that are applicable to all (even to their parents).

Obviously, for such a small industry, we have a dramatically oversized impact on the world. But where is the recognition of such? After all, when you visit any liberal arts university or college, you will find degree programs in art, literature and music. When you pick up any major newspaper or popular magazine, you will find book, music, movie and art reviews—and loving profiles of those who made them.
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The right of publicity
The law recognizes what is known as a “right of publicity” for prominent people. This allows persons in the public eye to control how their image, attributes and name are used for commercial purposes. For example, using an actress’s image, or even her distinctive singing voice, to sell products without her permission would violate this right.

But the right of publicity has limits, and one significant limitation is the First Amendment. When a public figure’s image is used in connection with an expression of free speech, particularly when criticizing our government, the First Amendment will protect that speech and trump the right of publicity.

Examples of this would be bobblehead dolls of our political leaders that parody certain of their traits. But is there a political connection here? At first, Ty denied the Obama children were an inspiration for its choice of names. Instead, Ty’s spokeswoman said the names were chosen merely because they are “beautiful” and worked well with the dolls they were making. The denial garnered even further publicity for the company. Then, in early February, Ty capitulated. It admitted that “the names were inspired by the historic time in our nation’s history,” and that it was renaming the dolls in deference to the wishes of the First Family.

Ty had to know that its Sasha and Malia dolls would raise eyebrows, yet garner much attention. Is “any publicity” really “good publicity”? When introducing new products, make sure to work closely with your legal counsel to decide whether you want to be known as the good guys or the bad guys.

Marc S. Cooperman is a partner with Chicago’s Banner & Witcoff Ltd. He specializes in IP Litigation. He can be reached via email at mcooperman@bannerwitcoff.com.

Richard Gottlieb is president of Richard Gottlieb & Associates, a New York-based provider of business development services to those in the market. He can be reached via email at richard@gusatoysexpert.com. His blog can be found at Playthings.com.

In 2007, New York’s Museum of Modern Art added 13 of Kidrobot’s art toys to its holdings. Three designs were on display as part of the museum’s recent installation, Just In: Recent Acquisitions from the Collection.

—Kidrobot

www.Playthings.com

The Legal Department

What’s In A Name?

When you hear the names Sasha and Malia, who comes to mind? The well-known maker of Beanie Babies, Ty Inc., hoped consumers would associate those names, which happen to be the same as those of President Obama’s young daughters, with its TyGirlz dolls. Was this a calculated publicity stunt or a coincidence? You decide.

Just as the country was preparing for the inauguration of President Obama, Ty added “Sweet Sasha” and “Marvelous Malia,” two African-American dolls, to its TyGirlz collection. The media coverage of Ty’s newly introduced dolls was immediate. The reaction from the White House was immediate, too. Mrs. Obama, through her spokeswoman, protested Ty’s use of the girls’ names for commercial benefit, calling it “inappropriate.” Mrs. Obama, a seasoned lawyer, had a legal point to make, in addition to her concerns as a parent.
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